

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,430	09/13/2001	Takahisa Aoyama	L9289.01183	4492
24257	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP			JOSEPH, JAISON	
1615 L STRE SUITE 850	EET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20036		2634	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>M</i> / <		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/936,430	AOYAMA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	Jaison Joseph	2634		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	eptember 2001.			
,	·	s action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ .7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <b>⊠</b> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureates the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(e)				
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/936,430

Art Unit: 2634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uesugi (US patent 6,002,727) in view of Hotani et al (US Patent 5,953,378).

Regarding claim 1, 5 and 6, Useugi teaches an interference signal cancellation apparatus having likelihood calculating means (Fig 1,108) for calculating likelihoods of respective symbols included in an input signal, a order determining (rank deciding) device 110 for deciding the rank of symbols on the basis of the likelihood, respreading (demodulating) means 111 for respreading the symbols on the basis of the decided rank or order, and a subtracting (removing) means for removing the demodulated symbols from said input signal and making the same into a new input signal. Useugi failed to teach a threshold value judging means for judging a threshold value by comparing a calculated likelihood with an appointed threshold value. However, Hotani et al teach a likelihood calculating circuit for calculating the likelihood of the received data (se column 5 line 52 – 55) and a threshold determination means for comparing the output of the likelihood calculator with the threshold value (see column 5 lines 58 – 60). It is well known in the art that in order to use the likelihood calculation data, one have to compare the likelihood calculating results with a threshold value. Therefore, it would be obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/936,430

Art Unit: 2634

to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the teachings of Hotani et al, a likelihood calculator with a threshold comparator in a interference signal canceling system, to achieve a predetermined target value.

Regarding claim 2, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, Hotani et al further discloses that the threshold control circuit 235 generates a threshold control signal, the threshold value being controlled based on this signal (see column 11, lines 64 - 67).

Regarding claim 3, which inherits the limitations of claim 2, Hotani et al further discloses that threshold determination means for comparing the output of the adding means with the threshold value and determining the position for frame synchronization based on the results of this comparison (see column 5, line 58 – 61).

Regarding claim 4, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, Hotani et al further discloses that the threshold of the threshold determination circuit is controlled in response to the counter value of up/down counter 234 which indicate the past synchronization values (see column 12 lines 19 – 22).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,430

Art Unit: 2634

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jaison Joseph
Patent Examiner

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600